



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/779,596

02/13/2004

Mark S. Andreaco

24017.03

8117

22465

7590

01/25/2005

PITTS AND BRITTIAN P C

P O BOX 51295

KNOXVILLE, TN 37950-1295

EXAMINER

GABOR, OTILIA

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2878

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/779,596

Applicant(s)

ANDREACO ET AL.

Examiner

Otilia Gabor

Art Unit

2878

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 November 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-50 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 13 February 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

***Response to Amendment***

1. The amendment (remarks) filed 11/23/2004 has been entered.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 1-5, 8-11, 14, 16-20, 22, 38, 46-48, 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wong (U. S. Patent 5319204) and Berninger (U. S. Patent 3919556) and further in view of Engdahl (U. S. Patent 5753917).

Wong discloses an apparatus and method for position and energy determination of scintillation events caused by gamma rays when used in a variety of PET scanning systems, the apparatus comprising:

- a plurality of polished scintillation crystals 12 arranged in an array 16, where each array 16 may include a matrix of 8 x 8 crystals. The array is configured to occupy one quadrant of the adjacent array of

Art Unit: 2878

- photomultipliers 14 (14a-14d). The crystals 12 may be of any type (example BGO) and may be cut from a large block of scintillation material or can be formed from separate crystals.

When incident gamma rays interact with the scintillation elements a quantifiable number of scintillation photons are produced, the relative energies of which are recorded by the array of photomultipliers, the corresponding signals processed and analyzed, and the X and Y position coordinates of the scintillation event determined (i.e. the crystal in which the event occurred is determined). In this case (i.e., in the reference) the number of photomultiplier arrays (i.e.  $(p \times q)$ ) is less than the number of scintillation crystal arrays ( $m \times n$ ), however the conventional prior art techniques use one detector for every scintillation crystal and thus  $(p \times q)$  equals  $(m \times n)$ .

Wong et al. fails to disclose a continuous light guide positioned between the scintillation elements and the photomultiplier, however one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use a continuous light guide since as Berninger shows having a continuous light guide 15 optically bonded with a glass plate 11a to the output face of the scintillator 11 and the photomultiplier tube array 12 will provide an optically transparent medium to satisfy the linearity and the position resolution of the gamma camera and will also provide a refractive index match between the scintillator and the detector, a feature necessary to decrease spurious light scattering.

Wong fails to disclose that the scintillator crystal is composed of two different layers having different decay times where a pulse shape discrimination technique is used to determine the layer in which the gamma event occurred.

Art Unit: 2878

Engdahl discloses a scintillating camera which, performs high and low energy imaging in conventional PET applications where the camera includes:

- a scintillation crystal 12 assembly having a first layer 14 of Thallium doped Sodium Iodide NaI(Tl) with a first decay constant and a second layer 16 of CsI(Na) with a slower decay constant
- a photomultiplier tube array 22 for detecting and localizing (x, y, z positions and energy) the scintillation events within the layers of the crystal.
- a glass light guide 24 and
- detection circuitry 26 for detecting, discriminating (energy and pulse height), localizing and counting the scintillation events in the crystal 12. Incoming background rays are discriminated against on the basis of the signal amplitude.

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to use the scintillation crystal with multiple layers of Engdahl in the gamma camera of Wong since as Engdahl shows having a stacked layer configuration doubles the sensitivity of detecting a single photon when single photon imaging is used and quadruples the sensitivity of coincidence detection.

4. Claims 6, 7, 12, 13, 17, 23-35, 37, 39-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wong and Berninger and Engdahl and further in view of Skillicorn et al. (U. S. Patent 6060713) and Roscoe et al. (U. S. Patent 5521378).

Wong fails to disclose that the scintillator elements are being composed of the specific materials claimed, however, as Skillicorn et al. shows, the preferred scintillators in a nuclear imaging camera are the high-Z Cerium-doped Lutetium Oxyorthosilicate

Art Unit: 2878

and Cerium-doped Yttrium Oxyorthosilicate scintillator elements and thus one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to use either one, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of design choice. *In re Leshin*, 227 F.2d 197, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960).

Also, as Roscoe et al. shows scintillator materials such as Thallium-doped Sodium Iodide and Cerium-doped Gadolinium Oxyorthosilicate are commonly used in the art of gamma ray detection and thus one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to use either one, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of design choice. *In re Leshin*, 227 F.2d 197, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960).

5. Claims 15, 21, 36, 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wong, Berninger and Engdahl as applied to claims 15, 21 and 49, and over Wong, Berninger, Engdahl, Skillicorn and Roscoe as applied to claim 36 and further in view of Moisan et al. (U. S. Patent 6087663).

Wong utilizes a non-active light guide in the imaging gamma camera and thus he fails to use an active light guide, however one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to replace the non-active light guide with an active one since as Moisan et al. shows having active light guides capable of encoding transverse and longitudinal coordinates of light emissions reduces the need of having separate scintillation elements and light guides connected to the detector array.

Art Unit: 2878

***Response to Arguments***

6. Applicant's arguments filed 11/23/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The arguments were presented in the personal interview with the Applicant's representative.

***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Otilia Gabor whose telephone number is 571-272-2435. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Thursday-Friday between 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Porta can be reached on 571-272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Otilia Gabor  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2878

